



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,883	10/29/2001	Phillip A. Danner	120751	1812
23465	7590	06/16/2008	EXAMINER	
JOHN S. BEULICK C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740				JONES, PRENELL P
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2619				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/682,883	DANNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PRENELL P. JONES	2619

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) PRENELL P. JONES. (3) _____.

(2) Applicant Rep, (Eric Krischke). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2008

Time: 11:00 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

renumbered claim 5 (original claim 6) and claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

With respect to the amendment submitted on 2/13/2008, Examiner requested authorization to perform an Examiners amendment on claim 6 (e.g. which has been renumbered to be claim 5), wherein claim 6 (which has been renumbered to claim 5) will now depend on claim 1 instead of canceled claim 5. Mr. Eric Krischke authorized Examiner to perform an Examiners amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

